

MAILED

MAY 24 2000

Office of the Director
Group 3600



**UNITED STATES PATENT &
TRADEMARK OFFICE**

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL
PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Washington, D.C. 20231

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834

Paper No. 9

In re Application of:	:
William R. Murray, Jr., et al.	:
Application No. 09/441,142	:
Filed: November 12, 1999	:
For: COMPUTER PHYSICAL	:
SECURITY DEVICE	:

**DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL
(INFRINGEMENT)**

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) filed April 17, 2000 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition requests that the above-identified application be made special under the procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, item II: Infringement.


MPEP 708.02 states that a Petition to Make Special based on Infringement must have the following: (1) the appropriate petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i); (2) a statement by the assignee, applicant, or attorney alleging: (A) that there is an infringing device or product actually on the market; (B) that a rigid comparison of the alleged infringing device or product with the claims of the application has been made, and that, in his or her opinion, some of the claims are unquestionably infringed; and (C) that he or she has made a careful and thorough search of the prior art, or has good knowledge of the prior art, and has sent a copy of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims.

The renewed petition filed April 17, 2000 taken in conjunction with the petition filed November 12, 1999 includes all of the requirements above and, therefore, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application simultaneously and state in the first official letter of such application that it is being taken out of turn because of a possible interference.

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner makes a prompt *bona fide* effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

The application will be returned to the Central Files area of Technology Center 3600 awaiting applicants' response to the Office action dated March 31, 2000.



Randolph A. Reese
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 3600
(703) 308-2121